OPEN MEETING AGENDA ITEM

W-02168A-15-0369



ORIGINAL Arizona Corporation Commis Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Trish Meeter Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 5/2/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 130356 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 5/2/2016 9:45 AM

First Name: David Last Name: Harmon Account Name: David Harmon

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Kingman State: AZ Zip Code: 86401

Home: <<< REDACTED >>> Email: <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc Division: Water

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: W-02168A-15-0369 Docket Position: Against

Why should consumers pay for his up-grade to meet EPA LAW? Where is the "Other" funds granted for this improvement past board members affirmed he had obtained? Perhaps the residence should file a suit based on health issues, life endangerment, child endangerment (un-drinkable contaminated water which they have been paid for years) and cost of bottled water, filters for equipment to try to clean up water and costs of equipment. Arsenic can be absorbed through the skin as well. Many studies I already sent to Tobin (including AZ State University) have found this and are recommending EPA drop from .10 to .005 parts for legal limit. I think this is a scam like most of the political acts around here without any thought or "REAL" representation for the people or their suffering. As it looks the \$16 plus asked for increase will double bills. If I have to pay higher bills I prefer city utilities to take over and up grade the area with OUR TAX MONIES. Again, perhaps Truxton should raise the rates on their contracts with outside companies. I am against the doubling of our bills to pay for improvements they have known about for many years without any action to rectify the problem. They had knowledge of the problem(s) for years and continued to sell and cover up the issues until water testing occurred via non owners. I suggest any and all of the commission who allow this increase be subjected to the same contaminated water and see if they like having to pay this individual for the "Privilege" of being poisoned for years. We have paid for non drinkable water for along time, now we are subject to pay even more for his clean up process of his contaminated, violation of EPA law product he has continued to sell and make profit on. NO. \$16 plus increase is wrong. Double the water bills and continue to received the contaminated, EPA illegal water from this company. I suggest a residential Law Suit against ALL who allow this to continue. The cancer rates, the sickness and death of pets is proof of the health issues within the water which we all have been paying for. NO NO NO NO NO. Not \$16 plus a month. For what? A Legal clean product by law they should have been supplying all along? So companies can sell contaminated food or water with no regard to human health? I say the residence need to contact federal departments, Green activists and other departments who have established protocol and standards for consumer consumption not local political "Good OI Boy" connected groups which continue to allow unjust acts based on who and how much to be had.

| | | Investigation | Þ | | |
|-------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|------|
| Date: | Analyst: | Submitted By: | Type: 00 | 2016 | 70 |
| | Trish Meeter orporation Commission | Telephone | Investigation | MAY -2 | ECE |
| M. | AY 0 2 2016 | | OMMISS CONTRO | ان س | VED. |
| роскі | ETED BY 16 | Opinion 130356 - Page 1 of 1 | | 55 | |